1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 JOSHUA LARK, Petitioner, 10 No. CIV S-05-0664 DFL GGH P 11 VS. TOM CAREY, Warden, 12 13 **ORDER** Respondent. 14 15 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no 16 absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 17 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing 18 19 § 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be 20 served by the appointment of counsel at the present time. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's April 29, 2005 request 22 for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage 23 of the proceedings. DATED: 5/9/05 24 25 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows 26 GREGORY G. HOLLOWS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

GGH:bb/lark0664.110